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Abstract

Mutations in the DJ-1 gene cause autosomal recessive parkinsonism in humans. Several mouse models of DJ-1 deficiency have been developed, but they do not have dopaminergic neuron cell death in the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNpc). Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) damage occurs frequently in the aged human SNpc but not in the mouse SNpc. We hypothesized that the reason DJ-1 deficient mice do not have dopaminergic cell death is due to an absence of mtDNA damage. We tested this hypothesis by crossing DJ-1 deficient mice with mice that have similar amounts of mtDNA damage in their SNpc as aged humans (Polg mutator mice). At one year of age, we counted the amount of SNpc dopaminergic neurons in the mouse brains using both colorimetric and fluorescent staining followed by unbiased stereology. No evidence of dopaminergic cell death was observed in DJ-1 deficient mice with the Polg mutator mutation. Furthermore, we did not observe any difference in dopaminergic terminal immunostaining in the striatum of these mice. Finally, we did not observe any changes in the amount of GFAP positive astrocytes in the SNpc of these mice, indicative of a lack of astrogliosis. Altogether, our findings demonstrate the DJ-1 deficient mice, Polg mutator mice, and DJ-1 deficient Polg mutator mice have intact nigrostriatal pathways. Thus, the lack of mtDNA damage in the mouse SNpc does not underlie the absence of dopaminergic cell death in DJ-1 deficient mice.
Parkinson’s disease research has been hampered by the absence of animal models that replicate the disease phenotypes observed in humans. We hypothesized that the reason mice lacking DJ-1, a gene that causes parkinsonism when mutated, do not replicate the human phenotype is because mice do not have the same levels of mtDNA damage that humans do. We tested this hypothesis by crossing DJ-1 deficient mice with mice that develop similar amounts of mtDNA damage as humans. We found that the added stress of mtDNA damage does not cause the DJ-1 deficient mice to replicate the human phenotype. These data should be informative for the development of future animal models of Parkinson's disease.
Introduction

Early onset autosomal recessive parkinsonism is caused by mutations in the parkin, PINK1, and DJ-1 genes (Kitada et al., 1998; Bonifati et al., 2003; Valente et al., 2004). PINK1 and parkin have been shown to maintain mitochondrial quality control (Corti and Brice, 2013). While the precise biological function of DJ-1 is unknown, it is known to respond to oxidative stress and defend against mitochondrial damage (Wilson, 2011). Since mitochondrial dysfunction and oxidative stress are features of Parkinson’s disease (Hauser and Hastings, 2013), studying the functions of PINK1, parkin, and DJ-1 may lead to insights about the pathogenesis of sporadic Parkinson’s disease.

In the last decade, several independent lines of DJ-1 knockout mice have been generated and characterized by multiple groups (Chen et al., 2005; Goldberg et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2005; Manning-Bog et al., 2007; Chandran et al., 2008; Pham et al., 2010; Rousseaux et al., 2012). In most cases, there was no evidence of dopaminergic cell death in the substantia nigra, with the exception of one more recent study that observed it in a subset of DJ-1−/− mice (Rousseaux et al., 2012). The general lack of dopamine neuronal degeneration has also been reported for parkin knockout (Goldberg et al., 2003; Itier et al., 2003), PINK-1 knockout (Kitada et al., 2007), and triple DJ-1/parkin/PINK-1 knockout mice (Kitada et al., 2009).

The reasons underlying the phenotypic discrepancies between mouse models of autosomal recessive parkinsonism and the humans that have these diseases are not known. It is possible that mouse SNpc neurons deficient for the
autosomal recessive PD genes do not degenerate because they are not exposed to the same types of stressors that human SNpc neurons are. One such stressor is mtDNA damage, which accumulates with age at high levels in the human SNpc (Bender et al., 2006; Kraytsberg et al., 2006). Polg mutator mice develop mtDNA damage as they age due to a knock-in proofreading deficient version of the mtDNA polymerase gamma (Kujoth et al., 2005). By the time they reach one year of age, ~50% of mtDNA molecules found in SNpc neurons of the Polg mutator mice have deletions, which is comparable to that observed in the aged human SNpc (Bender et al., 2006; Kraytsberg et al., 2006; Perier et al., 2013).

This increased mtDNA damage results in a decrease in the abundance of mitochondrial respiratory chain complex I subunits in Polg mutator brains (Hauser et al., 2014). Since DJ-1 protects against complex I inhibition both in vitro (Mullett and Hinkle, 2011) and in vivo (Kim et al., 2005), we hypothesized that increasing mtDNA damage in the DJ-1 knockout mouse SNpc would result in neurodegeneration. We tested this hypothesis by crossing DJ-1 knockout mice with Polg mutator mice.

**Materials and Methods**

*DJ-1;Polg mice*

This study was carried out in strict accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health. The protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.
Committees of the US National Institute of Child Health and Human Development

The Polg mutator mice used in this study were originally described by Prolla and colleagues (Kujoth et al., 2005). DJ-1 knockout mice were generated and originally characterized by Cai and colleagues and given to us after having been backcrossed at least 2 generations into C57BL/6J (Chandran et al., 2008). We backcrossed the DJ-1 mice for an additional 3 generations into C57BL/6J prior to mating one DJ-1+/− mouse with one PolgWT/MT mouse. DJ-1+/−;PolgWT/MT mice were then bred with each other to produce the cohorts of mice used in this study. All of the mice were given access to food and water ad libitum.

Four genotypes (DJ-1+/+;PolgWT/WT, DJ-1+/+;PolgMT/MT, DJ-1−/−;PolgWT/WT, and DJ-1−/−;PolgMT/MT) of mice were aged to at least one year (365 to 391 days, median 377 days) before transcardial perfusion. One male DJ-1+/−;PolgMT/MT mouse and one male DJ-1−/−;PolgMT/MT mouse were sacrificed at the ages of 340 and 352 days, respectively, at the request of the veterinarians due to the severity of their phenotype. One female DJ-1+/−;PolgMT/MT mouse was sacrificed at 365 days at the request of the veterinarians due to an ear infection. These mice were not used for weight analysis or the pole test but were used for immunohistochemistry.

**Pole Test**

We performed the pole test as previously described (Ogawa et al., 1985; Matsuura et al., 1997). A wooden dowel (1 centimeter diameter, 0.5 meter height) was mounted into a wooden base and the entire apparatus was placed...
into an empty mouse cage and covered with fresh bedding. The mice were placed at the top of the pole and video recorded as they descended. Several pretrials were done before a series of 4-7 trials were recorded for each animal. Some animals were given an intraperitoneal injection of L-DOPA (25 mg/kg) and benserazide (5 mg/kg) after their first set of trials and then subjected to 4-7 more trials 30 minutes following the injection. After all the mice had been tested, an operator that was blinded to both the genotype and drug treatment of the mice scored the video files. The operator recorded the time it took the mice to reach the floor of the cage after being placed atop the pole along with their method of doing so (Walk, Slide, Walk/Slide, or Fall). A mouse was judged to have fallen if it fell to the cage floor at any point of its descent.

Immunohistochemistry

Mice were transcardially perfused using PBS (1 minute) and then 4% PFA in PBS (5 minutes). After perfusion, brains were removed and post-fixed overnight in 4% PFA in PBS at 4°C. The brains were then transferred into a solution of 30% w/v sucrose in PBS that was supplemented with 0.05% sodium azide and stored at 4°C until the brains had sunk to the bottom of the containers. Each brain was then bisected along the longitudinal fissure and the left hemisphere was sectioned on a cryostat into 40-micron thick sections. Slices that included the midbrain were collected and stored individually, while sections rostral and caudal to the midbrain were stored in groups. For stereology, every fourth section through the midbrain was stained for Glial fibrillary acidic protein
(GFAP) and/or tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) immunoreactivity using a free-floating procedure in which all steps were performed on a rotating shaker (~250 rpm).

For the 3,3'-Diaminobenzadine (DAB) staining protocol, the sections were incubated in 0.3% hydrogen peroxide in PBS for 20 minutes at RT then washed 3 times with PBS. Sections were blocked for 1 hour at RT in blocking buffer (PBS supplemented with 1% w/v bovine serum albumin (BSA), 0.3% Triton X-100, and 1% donkey serum), which was also used to dilute primary and secondary antibodies in subsequent steps. Sections were then incubated overnight in primary TH antibody (PelFreez # P40101, rabbit polyclonal, 1:2000 dilution) at 4°C. The next day, sections were left in primary antibody for 1 hour at RT then washed with PBS 3 times for 5 minutes. A biotinylated secondary antibody (Vector Labs #BA1100, horse anti rabbit IgG, 1:500 dilution) was incubated with the sections for 1 hour at RT then the slices were washed 3 times for 5 minutes with PBS. The sections were then exposed to 0.3% hydrogen peroxide in PBS for 20 minutes then washed again with PBS 3 times for 5 minutes each. The slices were then incubated with a mixture of avidin and biotinylated horseradish peroxidase (Vector Labs Vectastain Universal Elite ABC kit, product #PK-6200) for 20 minutes at RT then washed 3 times with PBS for 10 minutes per wash. To complete the staining procedure, the slices were incubated with a DAB peroxidase substrate (Vector Labs # SK-4100) for 5 minutes and washed with PBS. Finally, the sections were mounted onto slides and dehydrated with a series of ethanol washes followed by two washes in xylenes then coverslips were added using Eukitt mounting media.
For the fluorescent staining protocol, the sections were washed with 1X PBS three times each for 10 minutes at room temperature on shaker. Sections were blocked for 1 hour at RT in blocking buffer (PBS supplemented with 1% w/v BSA, 0.3% Triton X-100, and 1% v/v donkey serum), which was used to dilute primary and secondary antibodies in later steps. Sections were then incubated overnight in primary TH antibody (PelFreez # P40101, rabbit polyclonal, 1:2000 dilution) and GFAP antibody (BD Pharmingen #556329, mouse monoclonal, 1:1000 dilution) at 4°C. The following day, sections were rinsed in 1X PBS three times for 10 minutes each. Two secondary antibodies were incubated with the sections, (Alexa Fluor #A21206, 488 donkey anti-rabbit IgG, 1:500 dilution) and (Alexa Fluor #A10037, 568 donkey anti-mouse IgG, 1:500 dilution), for 2 hours at RT and protected from light. The slices were then washed three times for 10 minutes each in 1X PBS before being mounted on glass slides using Prolong Gold mounting media.

Stereology was performed on a Zeiss Axio Imager A1 microscope running Stereo Investigator software (MBF Biosciences). An operator blinded to the genotype of each sample operated the microscope and performed stereology. Unbiased counting of the SNpc TH and GFAP positive cells was accomplished using the software’s optical fractionator protocol. As only the SNpc of the left hemisphere was analyzed, the cell counts were multiplied by two to estimate whole brain SNpc cell numbers.

In order to determine striatal TH terminal density, three sections through the striatum were stained per animal, with one DJ-1+/+;PolgMT/MT animal removed.
from this analysis because its striatum was sectioned at a different thickness than all other animals. The sections were stained for TH as described above, except in this case a different secondary antibody was used (Jackson ImmunoResearch #711-655-152, Alexa-Fluor 790 AffiniPure Donkey anti-rabbit IgG, 1:1000).

To quantitatively image the sections, all of the slides were scanned at once using an Odyssey CLx imaging system. The highest resolution (21 μm) and scan quality settings were used, and the system’s automatic intensity feature was employed to avoid pixel saturation. The signal intensity was measured inside an equally sized circle placed approximately in the same area of the dorsal striatum of each slice. The mean intensity of the sections from each animal was used for comparisons.

Statistics

Post-hoc power analysis was done using the ‘pwr’ package in R (http://www.R-project.org/). Sample sizes were the minimum group size, the effect size was 0.25 (Cohen, 1988), and the p-values calculated from the ANOVA or Chi-squared tests were used to determine post-hoc power values. These values are reported in Table 2.

Results

We bred double heterozygous DJ-1<sup>+/−</sup>;Polg<sup>WT/MT</sup> mice and analyzed the birth rates of the resulting 9 genotypes (Figure 1A-B). All of the genotypes were born at the anticipated Mendellian ratios (Figure 1B). From the nine possible
genotypes of mice, we used the four double homozygous genotypes for subsequent analysis. We aged a cohort of 27 mice (Table 1) for ~one year in order to maximize the aging effect of the Polg phenotype. We note that this approaches the maximum lifespan of these animals as the Polg genotype causes severe weight loss as the animals approach one year of age (Kujoth et al., 2005). We weighed our animals after they had reached a year of age to determine if the loss of DJ-1 had any effect on the weight loss phenotype caused by Polg mutation (Figure 1C-D). In both males and females, we observed weight loss in the Polg mutator animals consistent with previous results (Kujoth et al., 2005). However, in the females we observed no difference between the Polg mutator mice with and without DJ-1 (Figure 1C). Our cohort did not have enough males to allow for statistical analysis, but the trend of no difference was also apparent in the males (Figure 1D).

In order to determine if any of the mice had motor impairments that could be indicative of dopamine cell loss, we tested them using the pole test. During this test, the mouse is placed atop a vertical pole and observed as it descends the pole. Mice with SNpc dopamine cell loss caused by 6-hydroxydopamine or 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP) spend significantly longer amounts of time at the top of the pole (Ogawa et al., 1985; Matsuura et al., 1997). Importantly, the behavior of mice lesioned by either drug can be rescued by administration of L-DOPA, which demonstrates that this test is sensitive to dopamine levels (Ogawa et al., 1985; Matsuura et al., 1997). When we tested our mice using this assay, we noticed that mice with the Polg mutator mutation...
tended to slide down or fall off of the pole but did not freeze at the top (Figure 2A). Videos of a DJ-1<sup>+/+</sup>;Polg<sup>WT:WT</sup> mouse and a DJ-1<sup>−/−</sup>;Polg<sup>WT:WT</sup> mouse performing the task correctly by reorienting themselves and walking down the pole are shown in Movie 1 and Movie 2, respectively. A DJ-1<sup>+/+</sup>;Polg<sup>MT:MT</sup> mouse sliding down the pole and a DJ-1<sup>−/−</sup>;Polg<sup>MT:MT</sup> falling from the pole can be seen in Movie 3 and Movie 4. When we compared the duration it took the mice to descend, regardless of falls and slides, we found that there was no difference between the genotypes (Figure 2B). This likely reflects the different means by which the animals descended. To determine if dopamine depletion was the underlying reason that the Polg mutator genotypes tended to slide and fall, we gave several of them L-DOPA to increase dopamine levels in their brains and tested them again. We found that L-DOPA did not prevent the mice from sliding or falling during the task (Movie 5 and Movie 6)(Figure 2C). Thus, the inability of Polg mutator mice, regardless of DJ-1 genotype, to perform the pole test correctly was likely not due to dopamine deficiency.

After all of the mice had been perfused, we determined the integrity of their nigrostriatal axis using several measures. First, we counted the number of dopaminergic neurons in the SNpc using unbiased stereology. The staining and counting was done blindly, and a prospective power analysis calculated that our study design had a power of 95.5% to detect a 25% change in SNpc TH positive cells. We performed the stereology experiment twice, once with a colored DAB stain (Figure 3A-B) to mark TH positive neurons and then once with fluorescent detection (Figure 3C-D) using a separate group of tissue sections. We reasoned
that doing the stereology using two different methods would decrease the
likelihood of detecting any false positives. In both experiments, we did not detect
any difference in the numbers of SNpc dopaminergic cells between the
genotypes of mice.

In some instances of damage to the nigrastrial axis, such as
methamphetamine toxicity, the cell bodies of SNpc dopaminergic neurons remain
alive while their nerve terminals in the striatum degenerate (Ricaurte et al.,
1982). To determine if there was any dopaminergic terminal degeneration in any
of our mice, we immunostained sections through their striata for TH and
quantified the stain intensity using an infrared imaging system. Using this assay,
we were unable to detect any changes in striatal TH intensity amongst any of our
groups of mice (Figure 4A-B).

Altogether, our data demonstrates that the nigrastrial axis is intact in
aged Polg mutator mice with DJ-1 deficiency. Since DJ-1 is known to be
expressed in astrocytes (Bandopadhyay et al., 2004), we considered whether or
not our mice would have phenotypes that manifest themselves in astrocytes. To
determine this, we chose to examine the SNpc for astrogliosis as indicated by
increased GFAP immunoreactivity. We found no difference when we compared
the numbers of GFAP positive astrocytes in the SNpc between the genotypes
(Figure 5A-B). Therefore, the Polg mutator mutation in DJ-1 deficient mice does
not cause increased astrogliosis in the SNpc.
We hypothesized that crossing DJ-1 deficient mice with Polg mutator mice in order to increase mtDNA damage in their substantia nigra would result in the degeneration of dopaminergic neurons. Using a cohort of mice designed to test this hypothesis with sufficient statistical power, we were able to demonstrate that our hypothesis was false. We also found that the loss of DJ-1 had no effect on the weight phenotype of the Polg mutator mice, that none of the nine possible combinations of DJ-1 and Polg genotypes were embryonic lethal, and that there was not increased astrogliosis in the Polg mutator DJ-1 deficient mouse SNpc.

Our results are similar to other studies that have crossed DJ-1 knockout mice with other knockout mice. The triple knockout of DJ-1/parkin/PINK-1 had no effect on SNpc cell numbers in mice up to 24 months of age (Kitada et al., 2009). Similarly, crossing DJ-1/parkin knockout mice with GPx1 knockout mice did not result in SNpc degeneration at 18 months of age (Hennis et al., 2014). Likewise, no effect on dopaminergic cell numbers was observed when DJ-1/parkin knockout mice were crossed with mice deficient for either SOD1 or SOD2 and aged to at least 16 months (Hennis et al., 2013).

Two studies have analyzed the nigrostriatal axis in aged Polg mutator mice (Dai et al., 2013; Perier et al., 2013). While both studies found no degeneration of SNpc dopaminergic neuron cell bodies, they reported conflicting results for striatal TH terminal density. One reported a decrease in striatal TH staining in aged Polg mutator mice (Dai et al., 2013), while the other did not observe a change in striatal TH (Perier et al., 2013). In our cohort of animals, the
Polg mutator genotype did not cause SNpc cell loss nor did it cause the loss of striatal TH terminals.

Previous studies have shown that aged Polg mutator mice accumulate SNpc mtDNA deletions to a similar extent to that found in the SNpc in both PD patients and aged neurologically normal controls (~50% of mtDNA molecules harboring deletions) (Bender et al., 2006; Kraytsberg et al., 2006; Perier et al., 2013). In addition, our analysis of the brains of aged Polg mutator mice from our own colony demonstrated a loss of respiratory chain proteins, which is indicative of mtDNA damage (Hauser et al., 2014). Since the experiments reported here required the use of fixed tissue, measuring the amount of mtDNA damage in the SNpc of our mice could not be done and is an important future experiment. We note that the Polg mutator mice with and without DJ-1 all developed the premature aging phenotype and the body weights between these two groups were similar (Figure 1C-D). This suggests that the absence of DJ-1 was unlikely to have strongly accelerated mtDNA damage caused by the Polg mutation, although we cannot exclude a more subtle effect. Regardless, whatever the level of mtDNA damage that had occurred in these animals, it was not sufficient to induce dopaminergic cell death. Whether or not other genetic manipulations combined with the loss of DJ-1 lead to SNpc degeneration in mice should be the subject of future studies.
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Table 1. Genotypes and genders of the cohort of mice used for experiments.
The genotype and gender of the cohort of 27 mice used for experiments are recorded in the table.

Table 2. Statistical Table.
Post-hoc power calculations for each statistical test reported are recorded in this table. To calculate post-hoc power, we used an effect size of 0.25, a sample size that was the minimum group size, and the actual p-value returned by the indicated test. For almost all instances, we were unable to formally test whether the data were normally distributed because the sample size was not 8 or greater.

Figure 1. Generation of DJ-1 knockout Polg mutator mice.
A, Double heterozygous mice were bred to generate the four genotypes of mice used in this study. B, The number of viable pups born from double heterozygous breeding is shown. A chi-square test was used to determine that the observed proportions did not differ from the expected proportions (n = 208 mice, p = 0.901). C, The weights of female mice at one year of age are displayed. The groups were compared with ANOVA (F(3,9) = 29.17, P = 0.00005745) followed by Tukey’s Multiple Comparison Test (• indicates P < 0.05 versus DJ-1+/−;PolgWT/WT, $ indicates P < 0.05 versus DJ-1−/−;PolgWT/WT). D, The weights of male mice at one year of age.
Figure 2. Behavioral characterization using the pole test.

A, The mice were tested for behavioral deficits using the pole test and the method of descent for each mouse during each of their trials is displayed. Each bar represents an individual animal, and the methods of descent from 4-7 trials are reported as a proportion within the bar. B, The mean time to descend the pole for each mouse is displayed (n = 4-7 mice per genotype, n = 4-7 trials per mouse, ANOVA F(3,19) = 1.171, P = 0.347). C, Following their first set of trials on the pole test, three mice in the DJ-1+/−;Polg<sup>MT/MT</sup> group and three mice in the DJ-1−/−;Polg<sup>MT/MT</sup> were given L-DOPA and retested thirty minutes later. The results of the test before and after L-DOPA are displayed with each bar representing an individual animal (n = 4-7 trials).

Figure 3. Stereological counts of dopaminergic neurons in the SNpc.

Unbiased stereology was performed by a blinded observer to count the number of dopaminergic neurons in the SNpc of the mice after they had reached a year of age. Two separate experiments were performed to analyze the same set of brains. A, shows TH immunoreactive cells in the midbrain stained brown using DAB (scale bars are 200 μm). B, displays the DAB stained cell counts for each animal (red points) along with mean and SEM of each group (n = 6-8 mice per genotype, ANOVA F(3,23) = 2.072, P = 0.1318). C, TH immunoreactive cells were detected in the midbrain using fluorescence (TH = green, scale bars are 500 μm). D, The numbers of SNpc dopaminergic neurons counted using...
stereology for each animal (red points) are shown with mean and SEM (n = 6-8 mice per group, ANOVA F(3,23) = 0.9124, P = 0.4504). Figure 4. Dopaminergic terminal density in the striatum. 

A, Representative TH stained tissue sections through the striatum. The sections were immunostained using an infrared fluorescent dye conjugated secondary antibody and imaged using an infrared imaging system. The sections are pseudo colored using a heat map, with warmer colors indicating strong TH immunoreactivity. B, Striatal TH staining intensity calculated from infrared imaged tissues. Individual data points represent animals and the mean and SEM are also displayed. (3 sections per animal were averaged, N = 5-8 animals, ANOVA F(3,22) = 1.189, P = 0.3369). Figure 5. Detection of astrogliosis in the SNpc. 

A, GFAP positive astrocytes were immunostained in the SNpc (outlined in white) and surrounding tissue (GFAP = red, scale bars are 500 μm). Unbiased stereology was used to count GFAP positive cells in the SNpc simultaneously with the TH cells counts shown in Figure 3B. B, GFAP positive SNpc cell counts per animal (red points) along with mean and SEM are displayed in the graph (ANOVA F(3,23) = 1.744, P = 0.1860). Movie 1. Pole test of a DJ-1+/+;PolgWT/WT mouse.
The mouse performed the task correctly by reorienting itself and walking down the pole.

Movie 2. Pole test of a DJ-1\textsuperscript{−/−};Polg\textsuperscript{WT/WT} mouse.

This mouse performed the task correctly by walking down the pole.

Movie 3. Pole test of a DJ-1\textsuperscript{+/+};Polg\textsuperscript{MT/MT} mouse.

The mouse does not perform the task correctly because it does not orient itself downwards and slides down the pole.

Movie 4. Pole Test of a DJ-1\textsuperscript{−/−};Polg\textsuperscript{MT/MT} mouse.

The mouse does not perform the task correctly because it falls from the top of the pole.

Movie 5. Pole Test of a DJ-1\textsuperscript{+/+};Polg\textsuperscript{MT/MT} mouse given L-DOPA.

This mouse (also shown in Movie 3) was given L-DOPA 30 minutes prior to the test. It does not perform the task correctly and slides down the pole.

Movie 6. Pole Test of a DJ-1\textsuperscript{−/−};Polg\textsuperscript{MT/MT} mouse given L-DOPA.

This mouse (also shown in Movie 4) was tested 30 minutes after L-DOPA administration. It does not perform the task correctly and falls from the top of the pole.
Table 1. Genotypes and genders of the cohort of mice used for experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DJ-1</th>
<th>Polg</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+/+</td>
<td>WT/WT</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-/-</td>
<td>WT/WT</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+/+</td>
<td>MT/MT</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-/-</td>
<td>MT/MT</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Statistical Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Structure</th>
<th>Type of Test</th>
<th>Power (f=0.25)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>Chi-squared</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>N too small to determine</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>if normally distributed</td>
<td>0.471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>if normally distributed for</td>
<td>0.274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 of 4 genotypes. The fourth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>genotype with 8 animals is</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>normally distributed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>if normally distributed</td>
<td>0.632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>if normally distributed for</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 of 4 genotypes. The fourth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>genotype with 8 animals is</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>normally distributed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>if normally distributed</td>
<td>0.493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>if normally distributed for</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 of 4 genotypes. The fourth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>genotype with 8 animals is</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>normally distributed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>if normally distributed</td>
<td>0.350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>if normally distributed for</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 of 4 genotypes. The fourth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>genotype with 8 animals is</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>normally distributed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A. DJ-1\(^{+/+}\) and DJ-1\(^{-/-}\) with Polg\(^{WT/WT}\) and Polg\(^{MT/MT}\)

B. Striatal TH intensity (Arbitrary Units)

ANOVA F(3,22) = 1.189, P = 0.3369
DJ-1 Wildtype/Polg Wildtype
DJ-1 Knockout/Polg Wildtype
DJ-1 Wildtype/Polg Mutator
DJ-1 Knockout/Polg Mutator
DJ-1 Wildtype/Polg Mutator
30 minutes after L-DOPA
DJ-1 Knockout/Polg Mutator
30 minutes after L-DOPA